Weakly-Supervised Bayesian Learning of a CCG Supertagger Dan Garrette, Chris Dyer, Jason Baldridge, Noah A. Smith UT-Austin, CMU Principle #1: Simplicity Type-Supervised Learning Principle #2: Connectivity Small > Big Can we learn a CCG supertagger **Connecting > Not Connecting** from only?: buy := (s_b\np)/np appears **342** times np/n connects Unannotated text buy := $(((s_b \ln p)/pp)/pp)/np$ appears **once** the ends • Incomplete dictionary: word \mapsto {tags} **Modifier > Non-modifier** Universal CCG principles $np/n \longrightarrow s np$ doesn't connect $(s\p)/(s\p) more likely than (s_b\p)/(s_{adj}\p)$ the ends **Transition Priors** Weighted Tag Grammar Per-Token Supertag Accuracy English Baldridge '08 Use CCG principles to inform Tag prior **P(u)** defined by: Ours 78 80 75 80 transition priors for HMM $P_{atom}(a) \times P_{term}$ $a \rightarrow \{s, np, n, ...\}$ 67 parameter inference 55 50 $\overline{\text{Pterm}} \times \text{Pfwd} \times \text{Pmod}$ $A \rightarrow B / B$

$$P(t \rightarrow u) = \lambda \cdot P(u) + (1 - \lambda) \cdot P(t)$$

simple is good connectin

t→u) ng is good $A \rightarrow B / C$

 $A \rightarrow B \setminus B$

 $A \rightarrow B \setminus C$

